



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS,  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 825,446	04 04 2001	Keishi Nakamura	010481	1801
23850	7590	04 10 2003		

ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP  
1725 K STREET, NW  
SUITE 1000  
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER

EASTHOM, KARL D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2832

DATE MAILED: 04 10 2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s):
	09/825,446	Nakamura et al.
	Examiner Karl Easthom	Art Unit 2832

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Karl Easthom

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Donald W. Hanson

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Apr 8, 2003

Type: a)  Telephonic      b)      Video Conference  
 c)      Personal (copy is given to 1)      applicant      2)      applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)      Yes      e)  No. If yes, brief description:

---

Claim(s) discussed: N/A

Identification of prior art discussed:

Takeuchi et al.

---

Agreement with respect to the claims f)      was reached. g)      was not reached. h)  N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant informed the Examiner that the above reference, listed in the 2/20/03 action was not of record nor was a copy received. The Examiner agreed to correct the Form 892 of Paper No. 18, adding the reference thereto, and to FAX a copy of same with the reference to applicant, along with a copy of the instant summary.

---



---



---



---

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

KARL EASTHOM  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 2832